



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,947	12/26/2001		Hsuan-Yin Lan-Hargest	12938-003002 8464	
27890	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER	
STEPTOE			ZUCKER, PAUL A		
1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		•	1621	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
	10/025,947	LAN-HARGEST ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul A. Zucker	1621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	1. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au	ıgust 2005.						
•	action is non-final.	•					
·—							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,12,13,16,17,22,25,26,80-94,9	6,97 <i>and</i> 99-103 is/are pending ir	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,12,13,16,17,22,25,26,80-94,96,97 and 99-103</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Po	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/025,947 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Current Status

1. This action is responsive to Applicants' amendment of 5 August 2005.

- 2. Receipt and entry of Applicants' amendment is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 16, 17, 22, 25, 26, 80-94, 96, 97 and 99-103 are pending.
- 4. In response to Applicants' request for clarification, the Examiner points out that the rejection under 112 at issue was correctly referred to in the last Office Action mailed 10 May 2005 as paragraph 6 of the Office Action mailed 22 November 2004
- 5. The rejection under 35 USC § 102 set forth in paragraph 9 of the previous Office

 Action mailed 10 May 2005 is withdrawn in response to Applicants' amendment and remarks.
- 6. The objection to the specification set forth in paragraph 12 of the previous Office Action mailed 10 May 2005 is withdrawn in response to Applicants' amendment.
- 7. The rejections under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, set forth in paragraph 13 of the previous Office Action mailed 10 May 2005 in response to Applicants' amendment.
- 8. The rejection under 35 USC § 102 set forth in paragraph 14 of the previous Office Action mailed 10 May 2005 are withdrawn in response to Applicants' amendment and remarks.

New Rejections

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 16, 17, 22, 25, 26, 80-94, 96, 97 and 99-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for making compounds in which the variable group Y² is –CH₂- or a bond, does not reasonably provide enablement for making compounds in which the variable group Y² is –CH₂- or a bond. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue."

These factors include, but are not limited to:

a. the breadth of the claims: In the instant case the claims are extremely broad encompassing the production of a large number of organic acids;

Application/Control Number: 10/025,947 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

b. the nature of the invention: The instantly claimed invention involves compounds which are generally considered to be unstable relative to fragments and gaseous $X_2=C=X_1$

- c. the state of the prior art: the state of the prior art in synthesis is generally considered high but would not allow one to make the unstable compounds instantly claimed;
- e. the amount of direction provided by the inventor: The inventor provides

 direction for the production of compounds in which Y²connects to the group –

 C(X₁)X₂H via a heteroatom such as nitrogen or oxygen;
- f. the existence of working examples: The only working examples provided are directed to the production of compounds in which Y^2 is a bond or CH_2 . There are no examples in which Y^2 connects to the group $-C(X_1)X_2H$ via a heteroatom.

Compounds in which Y^2 connects to the group $-C(X_1)X_2H$ via a heteroatom are known to be inherently unstable and will decompose, under normal conditions, in the following manner: $-Y^2C(X_1)X_2H \rightarrow -Y^2H + X_2=C=X_1$ (gas). Based upon the analysis above, the Examiner concludes that undue experimentation is required to make and use the claimed invention since the formation of these compounds is not enabled neither is their use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. Claims 1-5, 22, and 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakane et al (US 4,663,336 05-1987). Nakane discloses (Column 55, lines 17-32, Example 62) the compound 4-cyclohexylbutanoic acid and its synthesis. Nakane therefore anticipates claims 1-5, 91-94 and 102.

11. Claims 91-94 and 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wittig et al (Berichte, 1939, 72B, pages 1387-1398, Abstract/Summary). Wittig discloses (Abstract, page 2, lines 9-11) the synthesis compound 2-cyano-9-phenyl-2,4,6,8-nontetrenoic acid by hydrolysis of the corresponding methyl ester. This compound is present as a mixture of esters which presumably includes the all *trans* compound.

Conclusion

12. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 16, 17, 22, 25, 26, 80-94, 96, 97 and 99-103 are pending. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 16, 17, 22, 25, 26, 80-94, 96, 97 and 99-103 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0650. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1621

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL A. ZUCKER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER